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## Introduction

## Setting

- Distributed graph algorithms
- Input graph = computer network
- node = computer, edge = communication link
- unknown topology
- Each node outputs its own part of solution
- e.g. graph colouring: node outputs its own colour


## Setting

- Deterministic distributed algorithms, LOCAL model of computing
- unique identifiers
- synchronous communication rounds
- time = number of rounds until all nodes stop
- unlimited message size, unlimited local computation


## Setting

- Deterministic distributed algorithms, LOCAL model of computing
- Time = distance
- Algorithm with running time $T$ : mapping from radius-T neighbourhoods to local outputs


## LCL problems

- LCL = locally checkable labelling
- Naor-Stockmeyer (1995)
- Valid solution can be detected by checking $O$ (1)-radius neighbourhood of each node
- maximal independent set, maximal matching, vertex colouring, edge colouring ...


## LCL problems

- All LCL problems can be solved with O(1)-round nondeterministic algorithms
- guess a solution, verify it in $O(1)$ rounds
- Key question: how fast can we solve them with deterministic algorithms?
- cf. P vs. NP


## Traditional settings

- Directed cycles
- Cole-Vishkin (1986), Linial (1992)...
- well understood

- General (bounded-degree) graphs
- lots of ongoing work...
- typical challenge:
expander-like constructions



## Our setting today

- Oriented grids (2D)

- toroidal grid, $n \times n$ nodes, unique identifiers
- consistent orientations north/east/south/west
- Generalisation of directed cycles (1D)
- Closer to real-world systems than expander-like worst-case constructions?


## 1D grids

- Vertex colouring

- 2-colouriing: global, $\Theta(n)$ rounds
- 3-colouring: local, ©(log* n) rounds
- Cole-Vishkin (1986), Linial (1992)


## Why is $\mathbf{3}$-colouring $\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\log ^{*} n\right)$ ?

- Upper bound: one-round collour reduction
- input: colouring with $2^{k}$ colours
- output: colouring with $2 k$ colours
- Lower bound: speed-up lemma
- given: algorithm for $k$-colouring in time $T$
- construct: algorithm for $2^{k}$-colouring in time $T$ - 1


## 1D grids

- Vertex colouring

- 2-colouriing: global, $\Theta(n)$ rounds
- 3-colouring: local, ©(log* n) rounds
- Cole-Vishkin (1986), Linial (1992)


## 2D grids

- Vertex colouring
- 2-colouring: global, $\Theta(n)$ rounds
- 3-collouring: ???
- 4-colouring: ???
- 5-colouring: local, ©(log* n) rounds


## 2D grids

- Vertex colouring
- 2-colouriing: global, $\Theta(n)$ rounds
- 3-colouring: global, $\Theta(n)$ rounds
- 4-colouring: local, ©(log* n) rounds
- 5-colouring: local, ©(log* n) rounds


## Classification of LCL problems

## LCL problems on grids

- O(1) time: "trivial"
- o(log* n) time implies $O(1)$ time (Naor-Stockmeyer)
- $\Theta(l o g * n)$ time: "local"
- O(n) time: "global"
- Why nothing between local and global?


## Normalisation

- Setting: LCL problems, 2D grids
- Theorem: Any o(n)-time algorithm can be translated to a "normal form":

1. fixed $\Theta\left(\right.$ log $\left.^{*} n\right)$-time component
2. problem-specific $O(1)$-time component

| (92) (33) 77 (57) (49) 26 (74) | (0) 0 0 1 0 0 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (71) (79) 8) 62 (48) (24) 55 | (01)000 00 |  |
| (31) (21) 15 (30) 60 3 3 | (0) 1 10 001 |  |
| (0) (5) 17 (95) (23) 47) 98 | (1)000 000 |  |
| (87) (80) 25 (38) 2048 | (0) 0 100 10 |  |
| (45) (61) (91) 51 (69) 1 (99 | (0) 1) (0) 1 ) 0 (0) | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ |
| (58) 53) 63) 40 (16) 2 (39 | (0) 0 (1)000 0 |  |
| O(log* $n$ ) | O(1) |  |

## Normalisation in more detail...

- For any problem P of complexity $o(n)$, there are constants $k$ and $r$ and function $f$ such that $P$ can be solved as follows:
- input: 2D grid $G$ with unique identifiers
- find a maximal independent set in $G^{k}$
- discard unique identifiers
- apply function $f$ to each $r \times r$ neighbourhood


## Some proof ideas...

- Given: $A$ solves $P$ in time o(n) in $n \times n$ grids
- Solving $P$ in time $O\left(\log ^{*} N\right)$ in $N \times N$ grids:
- pick suitable $n=O(1), k=O(1)$
- find a maximal independent set (MIS) in $G^{k}$
- use MIS to find locally unique identifiers for $n \times n$ neighbourhoods
- simulate $A$ in $n \times n$ local neighbourhoods


## LCL problems on grids

- O(1) time: "trivial"
- o(log* n) time implies $O(1)$ time (Naor-Stockmeyer)
- $\Theta\left(l{ }^{\prime}{ }^{*} n\right)$ time: "local"
- $o(n)$ time implies $O\left(\right.$ log* $^{*} n$ ) time (normalisation)
- O(n) time: "global"


## Vertex colouring

- Every LCL problem is trivial, local, or global
- Why is 4 -colouring in 2D grids "local"?
- Why is 3 -colouring in 2D grids "global"?


## 4-colouring on grids

## 4-colouring

-Lucky guess: maybe it is local?

- Try to use computers to find normal form
- turns out it is enough to find an MIS in $G^{3}$, then consider $7 \times 5$ tiles
- algorithm $\approx$ mapping $\{0,1\}^{7 \times 5} \rightarrow\{1,2,3,4\}$
- only 2079 possible tiles, easy to find a solution


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3-colouring } \\
\text { on grids }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3-colouring

- Inherently different from 4-colouring:
- cannot be solved locally
- But also different from 2-colouring:
- nontrivial to argue that the problem is global





## Proof idea

- Assume: a local algorithm for 3 -colouring in $n \times n$ grids
- Implication: a local algorithm for "sum coordination" in n-cycles
- But we can prove that this problem is global



Consider any feasible 3-colouring...


We can convert it into a greedy solution in constant time (eliminate colour 2 whenever possible, then colour 3)


Greedy solution: boundaries + 2-colloured regions


Parity changes at each boundary


Parity changes at each boundary
even $\times$ even


Wrap around: same parity
odd $\times$ odd


Wrap around: opposite parity
even $\times$ even

odd $\times$ odd


Boundaries can be oriented with local rules (keep orange on right, white on left)
even $\times$ even

odd $\times$ odd


Pick any row, label looundary crossings with +1 / -1

$$
\text { up }=+1, \text { down }=-1
$$

even $\times$ even


Sum of crossings: even
odd $\times$ odd


Sum of crossings:
odd
even $\times$ even


Sum of crossings: even
odd $\times$ odd


Sum of crossings:
odd
even $\times$ even

odd $\times$ odd


Boundaries are closed curves: constant sum

$$
\text { up }=+1, \text { down }=-1
$$

even $\times$ even

odd $\times$ odd


Locality: sum only depends on grid dimensions, not on IDs (otherwise we could construct one instance with non-constant sum)

## Sum coordination

- What any 3-colouring algorithms has to solve for every row of the grid:
- label nodes with $\{+1,0,-1\}$
- there is some function $q$ so that the sum of labels is $q(n)$ in any $n$-cycle, regardless of unique identifiers
- $q(n)$ odd iff $n$ is odd: cannot label everything with 0
- $|q(n)|$ not too large: cannot label everything with +1


## Sum coordination

- What any 3-colouring algorithms has to solve for every row of the grid
- Requires global coordination


## Conclusions



## Conclusions: LCLs on grids

- Only three complexity classes in 2D grids: trivial $O(1)$, local $\Theta\left(l o g^{*} n\right)$, global $\Theta(n)$
- 4-colouring is local: algorithm synthesis
- 3-colouring is gllobal: sum coordination
- Can be generalised to d-dimensional grids!

